A Tutorial for the FHI-aims UK meeting

THE MSIP TEAM – Warwick – May 15, 2024



Sebastian Kokott

Konstantin Lion Andrey Sobolev James Green Uthpala Herath Min-ye Zhang Volker Blum

Overview How this tutorial is structured

- Two main sessions:
- A. Hybrid density functionals
- B. Beyond DFT: GW method for molecules and solids
- Each Session has two parts:
- 1. Focus Talk

2. Hands-on time – Feel free to ask questions, also unrelated

PART A Hybrid Density Functionals

Density Functional Approximations (DFAs) At which rung we stop today



Hybrid Density Functionals

Meta-GGAs

Generalized-Gradients Approximations (GGAs)

Local Density Approximations (LDAs)

Jacob's Ladder of DFAs



Density Functional Approximations (DFAs) At which rung we stop today



Hybrid Density Functionals

Meta-GGAs

Generalized-Gradients Approximations (GGAs)

Local Density Approximations (LDAs)



Jacob's Ladder of DFAs

Mixing the (screened) DFA-exchange with some fraction of non-local Exact Exchange (exx)

 $E_x(\alpha,\beta,\omega) = \alpha E_{\text{exx}} + \beta E_{\text{exx}}^{\text{SR}}(\omega) + (1-\alpha)E_{\text{x-DFA}} - \beta E_{\text{x-DFA}}^{\text{SR}}(\omega)$

Mixing the (screened) DFA-exchange with some fraction of non-local Exact Exchange (exx)

 $\beta = 0$: Global Hybrids

PBEO, PBEsolO, B3LYP, ...

 $E_x(\alpha,\beta,\omega) = \alpha E_{\text{exx}} + \beta E_{\text{exx}}^{\text{SR}}(\omega) + (1-\alpha)E_{\text{x-DFA}} - \beta E_{\text{x-DFA}}^{\text{SR}}(\omega)$

Mixing the (screened) DFA-exchange with some fraction of non-local Exact Exchange (exx)

$$E_x(\alpha,\beta,\omega) = \alpha E_{\text{exx}} + \beta E_{\text{exx}}^{\text{SR}}$$

 $\beta = 0$: Global Hybrids

PBEO, PBEsolO, B3LYP, ...

 β > 0: Range-separated/screened Hybrids HSEO6, ω PBEh, ω B97h, ...

 $E(\omega) + (1 - \alpha)E_{\mathbf{x}-\mathrm{DFA}} - \beta E_{\mathbf{x}-\mathrm{DFA}}^{\mathrm{SR}}(\omega)$

Mixing the (screened) DFA-exchange with some fraction of non-local Exact Exchange (exx)

$$E_x(\alpha,\beta,\omega) = \alpha E_{\text{exx}} + \beta E_{\text{exx}}^{\text{SR}}$$

 $\beta = 0$: Global Hybrids

PBEO, PBEsolO, B3LYP, ...

 β > 0: Range-separated/screened Hybrids HSEO6, ω PBEh, ω B97h, ...

 $E(\omega) + (1 - \alpha)E_{\mathbf{x}-\mathrm{DFA}} - \beta E_{\mathbf{x}-\mathrm{DFA}}^{\mathrm{SR}}(\omega)$

 α – long-range exx

 $\alpha + \beta$ – short-range exx

Mixing the (screened) DFA-exchange with some fraction of non-local Exact Exchange (exx)

 $E_x(\alpha,\beta,\omega) = \alpha E_{\text{exx}} + \beta E_{\text{exy}}^{\text{SR}}(\alpha,\beta,\omega) = \alpha E_{\text{exy}} + \beta E_{\text{exy}}^{\text{SR}}(\alpha,\beta,\omega) = \alpha E_{\text{exy}}^{\text{SR}}($

 $v(r) = v_{\rm SR}(r;\omega) + v_{\rm LR}(r)$

$$(\omega) + (1 - \alpha)E_{\text{x-DFA}} - \beta E_{\text{x-DFA}}^{\text{SR}}(\omega)$$

SHORT-RANGE LONG-RANGE

$$r;\omega) = \frac{\operatorname{erfc}(\omega r)}{r} + \frac{\operatorname{erf}(\omega r)}{r}$$

 α – long-range exx

 $\alpha+\beta$ – short-range exx



Mixing the (screened) DFA-exchange with some fraction of non-local Exact Exchange (exx)

$$E_x(\alpha,\beta,\omega) = \alpha E_{\text{exx}} + \beta E_{\text{exx}}^{\text{SR}}(\omega) + (1-\alpha)E_{\text{x-DFA}} - \beta E_{\text{x-DFA}}^{\text{SR}}(\omega)$$

 $v(r) = v_{\rm SR}(r;\omega) + v_{\rm LR}(r;\omega)$

Hybrid Functionals are a very flexible scheme! α – long-range exx (That's good/bad) $\alpha+\beta$ – short-range exx

SHORT-RANGE LONG-RANGE

$$;\omega) = \frac{\operatorname{erfc}(\omega r)}{r} + \frac{\operatorname{erf}(\omega r)}{r}$$



Practical Guide for Using Hybrids Hybrid *≠* **Hybrid: HSE06 vs PBE0**



Hematite Fe2O3, anti-ferromagnetic



Run on 2 nodes á 72 cores



64 water molecules in box

2.7x 41s Similar behavior for 15s Run on 5 nodes á 72 cores memory consumption XXX00 200 LUC 7

Efficient evaluation of exact exchange in real space Localized Resolution-of-Identity approach (RI-LVL) [1,2]



[1] Ren, X., et al. New Journal of Physics 14.5 (2012): 053020. [2] Ihrig, A., et al. New Journal of Physics 17.9 (2015): 093020.



Efficient evaluation of exact exchange in real space Localized Resolution-of-Identity approach (RI-LVL) [1,2]





Efficient evaluation of exact exchange in real space Localized Resolution-of-Identity approach (RI-LVL) [1,2]



[1] Ren, X., et al. New Journal of Physics 14.5 (2012): 053020. [2] Ihrig, A., et al. New Journal of Physics 17.9 (2015): 093020.





RI-LVL enables O(n_atoms)



[1] Ren, X., et al. New Journal of Physics 14.5 (2012): 053020. [2] Ihrig, A., et al. New Journal of Physics 17.9 (2015): 093020.





Hybrid Functionals in FHI-aims Benefits of the RI-LVL implementation

- Available for non-periodic and periodic structures
- Affordable (still not cheap);
- O(n_atoms) algorithm: Simulations up to ~30k atoms
- Integration with libxc: find you favorite hybrid functional

Practical Guide for Using Hybrids **Complexity of the Exact Exchange Algorithm**



Use scaling behavior to estimate computational resources from smaller units

CPUs only!



- - Facilitate data redistribution via one-sided MPI routines; e.g. Coulomb matrix

CPUs only!

MPI-3 intra-node shared memory arrays (see talk Christian Carbogno)



- MPI-3 intra-node shared memory arrays (see talk Christian Carbogno)
 - Facilitate data redistribution via one-sided MPI routines; e.g. Coulomb matrix
- Compression of the RI-coefficients
 - Same screening mechanism as for Coulomb matrix (2015)

CPUs only!



- MPI-3 intra-node shared memory arrays (see talk Christian Carbogno)
 - Facilitate data redistribution via one-sided MPI routines; e.g. Coulomb matrix
- Compression of the RI-coefficients
 - Same screening mechanism as for Coulomb matrix (2015)
- Several auto-tuning mechanisms
 - Minimize communication, maximize memory/node usage

CPUs only!



- MPI-3 intra-node shared memory arrays (see talk Christian Carbogno)
 - Facilitate data redistribution via one-sided MPI routines; e.g. Coulomb matrix
- Compression of the RI-coefficients
 - Same screening mechanism as for Coulomb matrix (2015)
- Several auto-tuning mechanisms
 - Minimize communication, maximize memory/node usage
- Additional parallelization layers

CPUs only!

- Finer granulation on the level of basis functions (previously: on the level of atoms)



Workflow Overview Initialization

$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{C}' \cdot \mathbf{D}$

Instance: everything that is needed to compute a row of the Fock matrix X:

- Coulomb Matrix C
- RI Coefficients V
- Communicators
- Several index arrays

The total number of instances needs to Be divisor of the number of nodes

Kokott, Merz, *et al.*, arXiv:2403.10343 (2024)

Compute Workflow

Data Layout



Workflow Overview SCF iterations

$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{C}' \cdot \mathbf{D}$

Block: Rows of the Fock matrix X

Kokott, Merz, et al., arXiv:2403.10343 (2024)



1,503-atom calculation, 1x1x1 k-grid, ran on 16 nodes à 72 MPI tasks

Assumed free memory per node for Fock matrix prefactors [GB] 185.59 Memory per instance for Coulomb / ovlp matrix [GB] 252.76 589.20 Number of nodes, tasks per node 16 72 Number of instances: 2





1,503-atom calculation, 1x1x1 k-grid, ran on 16 nodes à 72 MPI tasks

Assumed free memory per node for Fock matrix prefactors [GB] 185.59 Memory per instance for Coulomb / ovlp matrix [GB] 252.76 589.20 Number of nodes, tasks per node 16 72 Number of instances: 2

How much assumed free memory we have in total: n_nodes x 185.59 GB = 2,969.44 GB Ratio 2,969.44/841.96 \approx 3 How much memory per instance we need: M_Coulomb + M_OVLP = 841.96 GB





1,503-atom calculation, 1x1x1 k-grid, ran on 16 nodes à 72 MPI tasks

Assumed free memory per node for Fock matrix prefactors [GB] 185.59 Memory per instance for Coulomb / ovlp matrix [GB] 252.76 589.20 Number of nodes, tasks per node 16 72 Number of instances: 2

How much assumed free memory we have in total: n_nodes x 185.59 GB = 2,969.44 GB

How much memory per instance we need: M_Coulomb + M_OVLP = 841.96 GB

MINIMAL NODE SETUP ESTIMATION

Calculation is likely to run on **8 nodes**

Ratio 2,969.44/841.96 ≈ 3





1,503-atom calculation, 1x1x1 k-grid, ran on 16 nodes à 72 MPI tasks

Assumed free memory per node for Fock matrix prefactors [GB] 185.59 Memory per instance for Coulomb / ovlp matrix [GB] 252.76 589.20 Number of nodes, tasks per node 16 72 Number of instances: 2

How much assumed free memory we have in total: n_nodes x 185.59 GB = 2,969.44 GB

How much memory per instance we need: M_Coulomb + M_OVLP = 841.96 GB

MINIMAL NODE SETUP ESTIMATION

Calculation is likely to run on **8 nodes**

Ratio 2,969.44/841.96 ≈ 3

BEST SETUP IN A SIMILAR NODE RANGE

15 nodes will allow to open 3 instances





Practical Guide for Using Hybrids How to tune your Hybrid Functional Simulation

- FHI-aims tries to auto-tune your setup
 - Number of instances, Fock matrix block size, Number of Fock matrix blocks per node
- Defaults are chosen rather conservatively
 - Try to avoid out-of-memory runs
- Possibly gain another ~20% speed-up when manually tuned

Practical Guide for Using Hybrids How to tune your Hybrid Functional Simulation

Set the number of instances per node

fock_matrix_nodes_per_instance INTEGER INTEGER has to be a divisor of number of nodes

Set the Fock matrix block size

fock_matrix_blocking INTEGER

INTEGER should be smaller than 100

Limit the number of available memory per node

fock_matrix_max_mem_per_node FLOAT

Efficient Workflows for Hybrid functionals (May not always apply to your system)

- GGA relaxation with light species defaults
- 2. Follow-up relaxation with Hybrid Functional (forces + stress) with intermediate defaults
- 3. Band structure calculation with intermediate species defaults

Try it yourself with Tutorial Basics Of Running FHI-aims: Part 3



Where to find the material

Go to fhi-aims.org

FHI-aims version (special release)

- Download fhi-aims.240507 or git checkout 240507
- Compile FHI-aims

Online Tutorial Material

- Click Online tutorials
- Select: Basics of Running FHI-aims
 - ► Part 3

PART B

GW method for molecules and solids